1	THE WHITE DESCRIPTION OF SOURCE
1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
3	AT CHATTANOOGA
4 5	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, :
6	Plaintiff, :
7	v. : 1:04-CR-160 :
8	REJON TAYLOR, :  Defendant. :
9	
10	Chattanooga, Tennessee December 3, 2008
11	BEFORE: THE HONORABLE CURTIS L. COLLIER, CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	APPEARANCES:
13	
14	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:
15	STEVEN S. NEFF CHRISTOPHER D. POOLE
16	Assistant United States Attorney 1110 Market Street, Suite 301
17	Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402
18	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
19	WILLIAM H. ORTWEIN
20	Post Office Box 38 Hixson, Tennessee 37343
21	HOWELL G. CLEMENTS
22	1010 Market Street, Suite 401 Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402
23	Chaccanooga, Tennessee 3/402
24	SENTENCING HEARING
25	DENTENCTIVE HEARTING

1	<u>APPEARANCES</u> : (Continuing)
2	
3	FOR THE DEFENDANT:
4	LESLIE A. CORY
5	FREDERICK L. ORTWEIN 1010 Market Street, Suite 306
6	Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402
7	
8	
9	
10	THE COURT: Please call the case.
11	THE CLERK: Criminal Action 1:04-160, United States
12	of America versus Rejon Taylor.
13	THE COURT: Counsel, please make appearances for the
14	record.
15	MR. NEFF: Steve Neff and Chris Poole for the United
16	States, Your Honor.
17	MR. WILLIAM ORTWEIN: Bill Ortwein, Lee Ortwein,
18	Leslie Cory, and Howell Clements for Defendant Taylor, Your
19	Honor.
20	THE COURT: Mr. Taylor, would you and Mr. Ortwein
21	please come to the lectern.
22	(Brief pause.)
23	THE COURT: The Court has set this matter for hearing
24	this morning for the purpose of imposing sentence in this case.
25	Mr. Ortwein, is Mr. Taylor prepared for sentencing?

1 MR. WILLIAM ORTWEIN: Yes, Your Honor.

1.3

2.2

THE COURT: Is the government prepared for the Court to impose sentence?

MR. NEFF: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: After a lengthy and vigorously contested trial, the jury in the case against Mr. Taylor determined that the defendant Rejon Taylor should be put to death.

Pursuant to the Federal Death Penalty Act, Title 18, United States Code, Sections 3591 through 3598, the Court is required to impose that sentence. Defendant was provided an opportunity for allocution before the jury, and he exercised that right. And the jury also considered aggravating and mitigating factors.

Accordingly, it is the judgment of the Court on Counts 1 through 4 that the defendant, Rejon Taylor, be sentenced to death.

Rejon Taylor is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Attorney General until exhaustion of the procedures for appeal of the judgment of conviction and for review of the sentence. When the sentence is to be implemented, the Attorney General shall release the defendant to the custody of the United States Marshal, who shall supervise implementation of the sentence in the manner prescribed by the law of Tennessee.

The sentence shall be executed on a date and at a

place designated by the director of the United States Bureau of Prisons.

2.2

The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA, as directed by the probation office.

It is further ordered that the defendant shall pay to the United States a special assessment of \$400, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 3013, which shall be due immediately.

The Court finds that because of the particular circumstances of this case the defendant does not have the ability to pay a fine, therefore the Court waives the fine in this case.

Mr. Taylor, as I'm quite sure you are aware, you have the right to appeal both the conviction and also the sentence. If you would like to appeal your sentence, your notice of appeal must be filed within ten days. If you cannot afford counsel to represent you in your appeal, the Court will appoint counsel to represent you.

The law in this area requires that the Court appoint counsel to represent you. I have received a letter from the federal public defender for this district, Ms. Elizabeth Ford. The Court's local Criminal Justice Act plan provides that she shall make a recommendation to the Court pertaining to representing you on appeal. And she has recommended that Ms. Cory represent you on appeal, and also an attorney named

Barry J. Fisher, who is out of New York. The Court has not decided at this point whether to accept that recommendation or not. I would like to hear from your attorneys and also from you what your views are with respect to your being represented by Mr. Fisher and Ms. Cory, and also whether you are agreeable to your other attorneys withdrawing from your case.

Mr. Ortwein?

1.3

2.2

MR. WILLIAM ORTWEIN: First of all, let me say, if it please the Court, what we recommend to the Court is something a little bit, obviously, different than what Ms. Ford has recommended. There was a report—I can't think of the name of it, but—three or four years ago that recommended that there be — well, the law requires two attorneys to be appointed on appeal, our recollection, one of which, in the report, is to be an attorney who was not involved in the trial, and the other attorney to be one who was involved in the trial.

Our recommendation to the Court is that the Court appoint Mr. Paul Cross, who was not involved in the trial, who is a partner of Mr. Howell Clements, with the law firm of Clements & Cross, and that the Court appoint either Ms. Leslie Cory or Mr. Lee Ortwein of the law firm of Ortwein & Cory on the appeal, either one of those, which would be fine for the purpose of appeal. And that way there would be one attorney who was not involved in the trial and one who was. And obviously Mr. Clements could aid Mr. Cross and Mr. Ortwein

Ms. Cory, or vice versa.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

Mr. Cross is in court.

Let me say to the Court, under those circumstances, at least, I would be happy to be involved in the appeal on a pro bono basis. As the Court knows, I'm 68 years old, and I do have some health problems. However, certainly, having been lead counsel in this particular trial, I would want to have some involvement in an appeal, which would be rather difficult should -- and I can't think -- I've talked to the attorney in New York, Judge, but I can't think of his last name right now. He has just been appointed full time the director of the Federal Death Penalty Resource Counsel in charge of appeals. I would also point out, though, to the Court that should the Court appoint Mr. Cross and Ms. Cory or Mr. Ortwein, that his services are available free of charge to them under the Act, which establishes the Federal Death Penalty Resource Center for purposes of the appeal, just as during the trial we had the aid of Mr. Kevin McNally from said organization, which was free of charge to the government. But that is our recommendation. I believe that -- I may be incorrect that that is what Mr. Taylor likewise would prefer, but that's -the Court can make inquiry, certainly, of Mr. Taylor in that regard. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Ortwein. I see that

Mr. Cross, Title 18, United States Code, Section

```
1
     3005, requires that at least one of the assigned lawyers,
 2
     quote, "be learned in the law applicable to capital cases,"
 3
     close quote. If you don't mind, within the next few days
 4
     could you furnish the Court with a copy of your résumé, with
 5
    particular attention to experience in the appeal of capital
 6
     cases? The Court will certainly take Mr. Ortwein's
 7
     recommendation under advisement. This is something that the
 8
     Court will do, but the Court will not do it today, but the
 9
     Court will do it within the next ten days.
                                                 This is a very
10
     serious matter, and the Court would like to make sure that
11
    Mr. Taylor receives the best representation that is available
12
     on appeal.
13
               MR. CROSS: Yes, sir.
14
               THE COURT:
                           Okay. Mr. --
15
               MR. WILLIAM ORTWEIN: Your Honor --
16
               THE COURT:
                           I'm sorry, Mr. Ortwein.
                                                    Go on.
17
               MR. WILLIAM ORTWEIN: Let me just emphasize once
18
     again that I personally will be involved on a pro bono basis,
19
     under our recommendation, or even should Your Honor make an
20
     appointment the other way. Probably less the other way.
21
     certainly I will -- am offering my services free, or
2.2
     involvement free, in this particular matter, for whatever value
23
     they may be.
24
               THE COURT: Mr. Taylor, you just heard the Court
25
     inquire of Mr. Ortwein as to what his position was on the
```

```
recommendation the Court has received from the head of the
 1
 2.
     local Federal Defender's office. As I indicated, that person
 3
    has recommended that Ms. Cory stay on as one of your attorneys
 4
     in the appeal and that Mr. Fisher, Mr. Barry Fisher, be your
 5
     lead appellate counsel. I assume that you've had a chance to
 6
     speak to Ms. Cory, Mr. Ortwein, and perhaps others about that
 7
     recommendation, and the Court would like to hear from you what
 8
     your views are and what your wishes are. Obviously the Court
 9
    has an independent obligation, so the Court will not
10
     necessarily acquiesce in your wishes, but the Court would like
11
     to hear your wishes so the Court can take them into
12
     consideration.
13
               THE DEFENDANT: I agree with Mr. Ortwein, his
14
    position on it.
15
               THE COURT: Okay. So you don't have a preference for
16
    Ms. Cory or Mr. Lee Ortwein?
17
               THE DEFENDANT: I thought they was in the same law
18
     office. So...
19
              MR. WILLIAM ORTWEIN: Doesn't matter. Just whatever
20
     you think. Don't worry about hurting somebody's feelings
21
    because they've been involved in your representation. If you
2.2
    have a preference between the two, just tell the Court. You
23
     won't hurt anybody's feelings.
24
               THE DEFENDANT: Yeah. Leslie Cory.
25
               THE COURT: Okay. I'm not making a definite
```

1 commitment at this point, but I think I'm likely to appoint 2. Ms. Cory, then, as one of the attorneys. 3 THE DEFENDANT: Okav. 4 THE COURT: Okay? 5 Mr. Ortwein, is there anything further the Court 6 must do this morning? 7 MR. WILLIAM ORTWEIN: Yes, sir. I want to enter an objection, although Mr. Taylor was offered to -- made an 8 9 allocution to the jury, that the Court did not offer him the 10 right to make allocution before the Court sentenced him. 11 just want to note that for the record, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: Okay. As I understand the motions that 13 were filed during the trial, the argument was made by 14 Mr. Taylor that since the jury stood in the place of the Court 15 and in a normal sentencing the defendant has the right of 16 allocution in front of the Court, that that right should extend 17 to the jury, since the jury was making the decision. 18 although the law was not all that clear on that point, the 19 Court granted Mr. Taylor that right, and he took advantage of 20 it, and he spoke for about, oh, 20 to 30 minutes or so to the 21 jury, not under oath, not subject to cross-examination. 2.2 I don't know of any argument that was made at that 23 time that in addition to that allocution the defendant would 24 also have a right to a separate allocution in front of the 25 Court. I'm not sure that any cases have discussed that. As I

1	said, the law was not all that clear with respect to what the
2	Court did in the first place, but out of an abundance of
3	caution and to be as fair as possible to Mr. Taylor, the Court
4	granted that right, which was exercised.
5	Any other objections?
6	MR. WILLIAM ORTWEIN: No, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Any objections by the government?
8	MR. NEFF: No, Your Honor. I would want to point out
9	that we spoke with Ms. Belcher yesterday morning. She was
10	planning on coming. I don't know if the Court had intended on
11	allowing her to say anything. But she got sick last night and
12	was unable to come. So in case the Court were to ask us if we
13	had any possible victims that would want to say something, as
14	it stands now, we don't.
15	THE COURT: And I understand that the government is
16	required to prepare the judgment.
17	MR. NEFF: We'll do that today, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Okay. If there is nothing further, that
19	concludes this matter.
20	END OF PROCEEDINGS
21	
22	
23	
24	
2 5	

1	I, Elizabeth B. Coffey, do hereby certify that I
2	reported in machine shorthand the proceedings in the
3	above-styled cause, and that this transcript is an accurate
4	record of said proceedings.
5	
6	
7	<u>s/Elizabeth B. Coffey</u> Elizabeth B. Coffey,
8	Official Court Reporter
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	